1. Report Objective

The objective of this report is to detail the risks and the associated risk probability, for the proposed digitalisation changes, in terms of product quality and the performance of the supply chain.

2. Risk Analysis

2.1 Risk Modelling Approach

Monte Carlo was selected because:

- Monte Carlo simulations have been used in many different scenarios from stock prices, sales forecasting, project management and pricing, and it is a proven model.
- It provides a number of advantages over predictive models, with fixed inputs, by providing output based on an estimated range of values. It uses random numbers between the minimum and maximum range to calculate results, and simulates a huge number of possible scenarios (IBM, 2020).
- The results are averaged to provide a probability, so risks are expressed in terms of probabilities (Gisslen et al, 2016).
- It is very flexible, and easily understood.

- The Monte Carlo simulation caters for uncertainty in terms of variables, which would apply to the analysis of risk for a supply chain and product quality risk.
- There are also multiple risk factors which can impact supply chain performance, and some of those risks, such as natural disasters, are likely to occur very rarely and so fixed variable models will not be able to model the risk accurately.

2.2 Risk Calculation and Data - Supply Chain Management

In supply chain management, the impact of risk is manifested by a lack of stock or by holding too much stock. The primary concern of stakeholders was stock not being available. Any of the below risks could impact the availability of stock.

The Monte Carlo simulation for the supply chain risks was based on the following:

- 10 risk categories, broken down into specific risks.
- The number of occurrences of each risk category over the previous 12 months, using historical data from Pampered Pets and insurance data from the Pet Supply industry.
- The predicted number of occurrences of each risk category based on expert opinion for the next 12 months.
- For Cyber security risks, historical data from the Cyber Security Breaches survey 2022 (GOV.UK, 2022), and threat reports
 from the National Cyber Security Centre (NCSC, 2022) were used.

 The Monte Carlo simulation was run 100 times using Yasai and excel, to predict the probability of a risk occurring over the next 12 months.

2.3 Risk Priority Approach

- A heatmap was created to analyse risk priority for Pampered Pets, and to ensure that the highest risks are those that are a priority to the business and meet its' commercial needs.
- The heatmap includes the probability of a risk occurring, the impact of the risk and whether the risk could have a regulatory impact.
- The impact of the risk was based on expert opinion.
- A potential regulatory breach automatically makes the risk a high priority, regardless of the risk probability or risk impact.
- This approach will provide risk priorities according to the business's commercial needs.

2.4 Supply Chain Risks and Risk Probability

The risks detailed in the table below are derived from (Rauniyar, et al., 2022), (Rodriguez, 2019) and (Bailey et al, 2019)

Table Key:

*Probability of Risk Occurring Over a 12 Month Period, derived from Monte Carlo Simulation:

High probability – 10 and above (Red), Medium probability – 5 to 9 (yellow), Low probability – 1 to 4 (green)

**Risk Impact (disruption to the supply chain):

High - Red, Medium - yellow, Low - Green

***Risk Prioritization:

1 = highest priority

Risk	Top Priority	Risk	Underlying Risks	Probability	Risk Impact**	GDPR
Prioritization***	Risks***	Category		of Risk %*		Regulatory
						impact
2	4	Financial	1) Budget overruns	4.6	High	No
			2) Additional funding due to missed			
			milestones			
			3) Bankruptcy			
			4) Incomplete project			

Risk	Top Priority	Risk	Underlying Risks	Probability	Risk Impact**	GDPR
Prioritization***	Risks***	Category		of Risk %*		Regulatory
						impact
			5) Reputation damage			
2	3	Scope of	1) Change of schedules	13.8	Medium	No
		schedule				
3		Legal	1) Misuse of intellectual property,	3.7	High	No
			2) Violation of laws			(excludes
			3) Civil lawsuits and fines			security
			4) Not meeting the regulations,			regulations)
			standards or requirements included			
			in the terms and conditions,			
3		Environme	Negative impact on global	1.8	Low	No
		ntal	environment			
2	5	Socio-	1) Corruption	4.6	High	No
		political	2) Ethics			

Risk	Top Priority	Risk	Underlying Risks	Probability	Risk Impact**	GDPR
Prioritization***	Risks***	Category		of Risk %*		Regulatory
						impact
			3) Issues of trust,			
			4) Bureaucracy			
3		Project	Project delivery delays	7.3	Medium	No
		organizatio				
		n				
3		Human	1) Lack of training	3.7	High	No
		behaviour	2) Change of schedules			
			3) Negative impact on the budget,			
			project/business continuity			
3		Reputation	1) Loss in demand	0	High	No
			2) Loss in investment and morale			
1	1	Cybersecur	1) Reputation damage	51.4	High	Yes
		ity	2) Hacking of BYOD and IoT			

Risk	Top Priority	Risk	Underlying Risks	Probability	Risk Impact**	GDPR
Prioritization***	Risks***	Category		of Risk %*		Regulatory
						impact
			3) Denial of Service attacks			
			4) Malware and virus infesting the			
			system(s) and end user devices			
			5) Software security vulnerabilities in			
			supply chain management			
			6) Counterfeit hardware			
1	2	Information	1) Data breaches	9.2	High	Yes
			2) Lawsuits and Fines			
			3) Reputation damage			
			4) Bankruptcy			
			5) Third party data banks			

2.5 Assumptions - Supply Chain

• Pampered pets will be using an international supplier for the manufacturing of pet food.

2.6 Summary of Results - Supply Chain

- The top two risks with a 51.4 and a 9.2 probability of occurring over the next 12 months, are cyber security and information risks.
- Both of these risk categories have the potential to breach GDPR, and both have been classified as high impact.
- The next category of risks which should be addressed are where the heatmap, is showing a red and yellow combination (financial, scope of schedule and socio-political categories).
- A priority number has been assigned to each risk category, based on the regulatory impact, the risk probability and the risk impact (non-regulatory).
- If a risk could have a regulatory impact then it is automatically classified as top priority.
- The top two risks below increase the probability of a breach of GDPR and the potential associated fines.
- The recommendation is that the following risks are addressed by order of priority:

Priority	Risk Category	Probability	Impact	Regulatory impact	Proposed Risk Mitigation
1	Cybersecurity	51.4	High	Yes	Create a cyber security policy and
					associated remediation plan
					Regular audits
					Ensure that suppliers adhere to their
					own cyber security policy
2	Information	9.2	High	Yes	Monitor Information security policies of
					suppliers
					Ask to review suppliers audit findings
3	Scope of	13.8	Medium	No	Regular supplier manufacturing status
	Schedule				reports
4	Financial	4.6	High	No	Ensure suppliers are on schedule and
					within budget
					Suppliers to provide monthly budget
					updates
					Monitor financial stability of the supplier

Priority	Risk Category	Probability	Impact	Regulatory impact	Proposed Risk Mitigation
5	Socio-political	4.6	High	No	Ensure that the supplier has in place an
					anti-bribery policy and procedures

2.7 Risk Calculation and Data - Product Quality

- The risk probability was determined by using historical data gathered through quality sampling of pet food, plus customer returns over a 12 month period.
- Sampling of pet food takes place weekly, so over a 12 month period there are 52 samples taken for quality analysis.
- The number of risks will have increased with a new international supplier, and included in this are the additional transport or logistics risks.
- The number of individual risks per risk category was a parameter in the simulation.
- Expert opinion on the number of issues which could be encountered over the next 12 months was also used in the formula.
- The simulation results are shown in terms of the probability of the number of weekly sampling checks which will fail, over a 12 month period, due to product quality issues.

• The Monte Carlo simulation was run 100 times using Yasai and excel.

2.8 Product Quality Risks

Table Key:

*Probability of Risk Occurring Over a 12 month period represented as the number of weekly quality samples which fail (percentage):

- High probability – 30 and above (Red), Medium probability – 11 to 29 (yellow), Low probability – 1 to 10 (green)

**Risk Impact - High - Red, Medium - yellow, Low - Green

*** Risk Prioritization – 1 = highest priority

Risk***	Risk***	Risk	Underlying Risks	Probability of Risk*	Risk	GDPR
Prioritization	Prioritization	Category			Impact**	Regulatory
						Impact
1	2	Improper	Insufficient refrigeration at	36.3	High	No
		Storage	supplier			

Risk***	Risk***	Risk	Underlying Risks	Probability of Risk*	Risk	GDPR
Prioritization	Prioritization	Category			Impact**	Regulatory
						Impact
			2) Insufficient hygiene at the			
			supplier			
			3) Transportation hygiene issues			
			4) Warehouse hygiene issues			
			5) Water damage at warehouse			
			impacts quality			
			6) Rodent infestation at supplier			
			7) Rodent infestation at warehouse			
			8) Product recall			
			9) Regulatory fine			
2	3	Animal	Poor quality raw materials	14.9	High	No
		Feed	2) Contamination of food			
		Quality	3) Poor hygiene			

Risk***	Risk***	Risk	Underlying Risks	Probability of Risk*	Risk	GDPR
Prioritization	Prioritization	Category			Impact**	Regulatory
						Impact
			4) Product recall			
			5) Regulatory fine			
1	1	Packaging	1) Labelling of ingredients not	43.3	High	No
			correct			
			2) Damaged packaging			
			3) Quality of packaging			
			4) Product recall			
			5) Regulatory fine			
3	4	Out of	1) Out of date stock	5.5	Medium	No
		date stock				

2.9 Assumptions – Product Quality

Pampered pets will be using a different international supplier.

2.10 Summary of Results - Product Quality

Insufficient quality control can result in liability claims, product recall and reputational damage. The probability of a risk occurring which impacts product quality, is represented as the probability of a failed weekly product quality test over a 12 month period.

The recommendation is to remediate the three risks below, which have the highest probabilities and also the highest impact.

Priority	Risk Category	Probability	Impact	Proposed Risk Mitigation	Regulatory Impact
1	Packaging	43.3	High	Weekly sampling for quality, to check:	No
				The packaging has the correct	
				product	
				The quality of the product is	
				correct	
				The correct packaging materials	
				were used	

Priority	Risk Category	Probability	Impact	Proposed Risk Mitigation	Regulatory Impact
				Barcodes, labelling and	
				ingredients are correct	
				The supplier should have a quality	
				assurance process	
				QA checks should be automated	
				Supplier to provide a weekly QA status	
				report	
2	Improper Storage	36.3	High	Use storage facilities which have	No
				automated the checking of refrigeration	
				temperature	
				There needs to be a hygiene policy in	
				place and there should be regular	
				documented checks to ensure that	
				these standards are being met.	

Priority	Risk Category	Probability	Impact	Proposed Risk Mitigation	Regulatory Impact
3	Animal Feed	14.9	High	The manufacturer should have an	No
	Quality			automated process for checking the	
				quality of animal feed, and a quality	
				assurance process to ensure that the	
				automated process is working	

2.11 Executive Summary and Recommendations

Through the Monte Carlo simulations we have identified the top risks, in terms of probability, for the supply chain and also for product quality. The five top supply chain risks and the three top product quality risks need to be addressed and the proposed risk mitigations implemented.

Out of the eight priority risks identified, the supply chain cybersecurity and information risks could cause a breach of GDPR, so these two risks are the top priority risks which need to be addressed first out of the eight.

The risk assessment should be run on an on-going basis, we propose monthly, because the probability and priority of risks may change, if the underlying data inputs change. New risks may also be identified.

3.0 Business Continuity and Disaster Recovery Strategy

- In the previous risk assessment for Pampered Pets, the proposal was to implement a SaaS solution (Oracle's NetSuite product).
- A SaaS solution will impact the BCP/DR strategy and Pampered Pets will be dependent on the SaaS provider for BCP/DR.
- The requirements are that the online shop should be available for 24/7/365 with less than a minute changeover window and that the business cannot afford to lose more than 1 minute of data.
- The SaaS provider needs to provide the following to meet the DR requirements:
 - The system needs to run live/live, this means that there needs to be two data centres which host the data and application which are duplicates of each other and run in an active-active mode. So they operate concurrently to share service loads. In the event of failure of one data centre, the system provides automatic failover with zero downtime and zero loss of data.
 - The storage, compute, application, network, transmission, and security layers must all be designed to support an active-active architecture (see appendix A).
 - There should be regular DR tests, where one DC is out of action.

- The vendor should also back up data off-line daily, so if there is data corruption which impacts both DC's then backups will be available, for example if there is a cyber attack.
- In addition, in terms of BCP, in the event of a natural disaster at the warehouse or shop, then there needs to be a contingency plan to use alternative premises and a DR site which is not in the near vicinity, all employees should also have the technology in place to work from home.

4.0 Vendor Lock-in

In order to avoid vendor lock-in, then the following measures can be taken:

- Ensure that the original vendor contract includes a clause to support a data migration.
- Vendor lock-in risk is increased with a cloud solution, however a big cloud provider, will have a process for supporting clients who want to move onto a different platform/vendor and also automated tools to support a data migration.
- Vendors and platforms should be selected that provide more standardised formats and protocols based on standard data structures, and ensure that there is sufficient portability (Opara-Martins et al, 2016).

Port data out of the cloud on a daily basis, and reconcile it back to the cloud daily. The data should be held in a data model
which represents the business. The data should also be held in WORM storage, in order to provide a layer of protection, and
to ensure that the data cannot be altered.

References

Bailey, T., Barriball, E., Dey, A., Sankur, A. (2019). A practical approach to supply-chain risk management. McKinsey & Company.

Rauniyar, K. et al., (2022). Risk management of supply chains in the digital transformation era: contribution and challenges of blockchain technology. Industrial Management & Data Systems.

Gisslen, L., Horndahl, A. (2016). A Quantitative Approach to Risk and Cost Assessment in Supply Chain Management. 2016 European Intelligence and Security Informatics Conference.

GOV.UK (2022). Cyber Security Breaches Survey 2022. Available from:

https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/cyber-security-breaches-survey-2022/cyber-security-breaches-s

[Accessed 20th October 2022]

IBM (2020). Monte Carlo Simulation. Available from:

https://www.ibm.com/cloud/learn/monte-carlo-simulation

[Accessed 15th October 2022]

National Cyber Security Centre (2022). Threat Reports. Available from:

https://www.ncsc.gov.uk/section/keep-up-to-date/threat-reports?q=&defaultTypes=report&sort=date%2Bdesc

[Accessed 15th October 2022]

Opara-Martins, J., Sahandi, R., Tian, F. (2016). *Critical analysis of vendor lock-in and its impact on cloud computing migration: a business perspective.* Journal of Cloud Computing: Advances, Systems and Applications.

Rodriguez, D. (2019). 7 Basic Types of Supply Chain Risks. Available from:

https://precoro.com/blog/7-basic-types-of-supply-chain-risks/

[Accessed 5 October 2022].

Appendix A

The below diagram is sourced from Huawei (2017) from the 'Active-Active Data Center Solution Technical White Paper', which is anonymous:

